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KODAMA

MOCKUP 1:3

MADE IN LARCH
**Kodama** - Node scale 1:3 made in larch

Final mockup

Final node

Basic units

Final node
KODAMA

MOCKUP 1:1

MADE IN CEDAR
Kodama - Node scale 1:1 made in cedar

Cutting
Cedar trunk section

Measurements

Trunk fixing (creation rectangular section)

Rough boards
Kodama - Node scale 1:1 made in cedar

Smoothing - First and second step

Smoothing

Smoothed boards
Smoothing - Third step

Final smoothing

Final smoothing

Gathering of wood chips

Boards ready to be trimmed

Kodama - Node scale 1:1 made in cedar
Trimming

Long side trimming

Boards ready to be carved

Short side trimming
Kodama - Node scale 1:1 made in cedar

Carving - First step

Marking  Carved detail  Carved boards

Carved detail

Carved boards
Carving - Second step

Marking  Carving frame  Manual carving  Carved detail

Carving frame
Assembling

Structure base

Fixing by means of bolts

Flatness check
Kodama - Node scale 1:1 made in cedar

Assembling
Assembling the first block

Checking and fixing the first block

Fixing the first block
Assembling

Construction team

Joint detail
Kodama - Node scale 1:1 made in cedar

Final mockup

Final node
KODAMA
MOCKUP 1:1
MADE IN FIR
Due to the fact that we need to work with jointed elements, one of the main problems is the effective slide of the wooden boards that are together connected. Even though the cuts of the different pieces were made with a “CNC machine” there is some error in the joint. The wood milling is often less than 50 mm and doesn’t allow the proper connection till the end of the two pieces.

This problem was temporarily solved widening the wood milling and hitting with an hammer to make the wooden table slide together. This violent impact caused in many points the crepe formation, till the complete break of some of the elements, as you can see in the pictures on the side. The critical points are the one in the corners of the milling, node where the wood has a low traction resistance in the orthogonal direction of the fibres.

To proceed to the construction of the prototype some of the pieces were fixed with glue and some others, the one already positioned, with wood screws.

In order to avoid all this problems it has to be consider a tolerance of 1 mm: the wood boards remain of 50 mm, the milling wood became of 51 mm instead.
Challenging has been the assembling of the prototype.

This mockup has been built creating compact blocks of few pieces and than assembling them maintaining a unique direction of all the joints. This decision has been taken due to the fact that, in case of proceeding board by board there is a final point in which the stiffness of the material doesn’t allow the contemporary connection of two joint with orthogonal direction.

This procedure has been possible in this location and with this reduced amount of pieces. In this mockup the elements have been fixed together proceeding with an horizontal direction.

In an executive project this organization would be difficult due to the spherical final shape of the pavilion. Is recommended a vertical growth of the structure and a possible assembling board by board instead of block by block.

Due to the complexity of the model is request a specific building manual for the workers.

Main issues

Second block assembled

Assembling second block with the first

Second block assembled
Cutting

CNC machine

Final boards
Assembling - First block

Assembling the first boards

Connection with the base

Connection with the base

Shaving

Measurements
Assembling - First block

Fixing with the hammer
Kodama - Node scale 1:1 made in fir

Assembling - First block

Assembling
Assembling - Second block

Assembling
Kodama - Node scale 1:1 made in fir

Final mockup

Final mockup - with support
Final mockup
Final mockup - without support
KODAMA
CALCULATIONS
FULL STRUCTURE
Conclusions from the last report

"Starting with 65 mm commercial thickness of slab, after treatment with thicknessing planer, it is possible obtain panels of 57-58mm. This means an important increase of 15% of resistant material. Future step should change the last geometry increasing the thickness in according with panels manufacturer disposition. With new model it will be possible evaluate new strength values in order to decide if it is necessary to introduce new restraints and/or change his positions or only adopt local reinforce. Future analysis will have to provide a more accurate mesh model and as written in the first report it means to arrive at more high stress values. Future analysis will also consider multi-axial interaction of stress. It is indispensable validate FEA analysis with real stress test."

Eng. Marco Clozza, D3Wood
Calculations reports

- Load: Own weight
- Load: Own weight + Wind
- Load: Own weight + Snow + Wind + Snow

Glued model mesh

Glued model displacement
**Kodama - Calculations, node and entire structure**

Calculations - board final thickness 58 mm

Larch – new snow load thickness=58mm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>min</th>
<th>limit</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fx</td>
<td>-9.55</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fy x panels</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fz x panels</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fy y panels</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fz y panels</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fy z panels</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fz z panels</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xy</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yz</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zx</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

disp. (mm): 6.02

**total number of panels over stress limit**: 10

Larch – new snow load thickness=58mm

two additional restraints

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>min</th>
<th>limit</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fx</td>
<td>-8.51</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fy x panels</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fz x panels</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fy y panels</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fz y panels</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fy z panels</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fz z panels</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xy</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yz</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zx</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

disp. (mm)

**total number of panels over stress limit**: 8

Calculations by Eng. Marco Clozza, D3Wood
KODAMA
LOAD TEST
NODE 1:1 IN LARCH
Kodama - Load test node 1:1 in Larch

Used tools
The load tests have been set up following two different static schemes. The first set up uses a restraint opposite to the point of the pulling force and the second set up uses only a fixed support to the ground. The first test is the best simulation of the reality, with the second restraint to the ground. In fact, in the second case the force turns out to be too eccentric and the resistance to compression of the element needs to be reduced.

The tools used in the tests are listed and showed below.

1. Fixing ring for the joint to the ground
2. Metal tightening
3. Metal bracket (dimensions: plates 6x14 cm.)
4. Holes for the ground connection of the larch board
5. Planed larch board, dim. 78x30x5.8 cm.
6. Steel ground bracket for the attachment to the ground
7. Pulley to transfer the force
8. Centesimal comparator to measure the vertical movement
9. Pulling rope
10. Dynamometer 2.5 ton hung on the bridge crane to measure the pulling force
Steel ground bracket fixed to land
Assembling the node
Elements for the Junction System
Kodama - Load test node 1:1 in Larch

Pulling System components
Kodama - Load test node 1:1 in Larch

Load Tests
Conclusions and comparisons

The observations made by engineer Marco Clozza during the load tests and the eventually drawn conclusions will be discussed in the following.

“The results of the tests are very positive for different aspects:

1. There is a good correlation of stress and deformation between the FEM analysis and the reality. Initially the reached displacement was higher than the one calculated due to the recovery of the tolerance of 3/10 of the joints, instead closer to the breaking point the real displacement was lower than the calculated.

2. Before arriving to the break point, with 7 kN of pulling, the knot has remarkable reserves of plastification. The software at 6 kN of pulling calculates values of stress of at least an higher order than those we have in the global model with real forces at ULS.

3. In case of centred force (Static Scheme 1), at the first break point with more than 7kN of pulling force, the hyperstaticity reserves influence the result and the dynamometer revealed still 4 kN of pulling.”

Load Test - Scheme 1

In the preceding page is reported the final image of the knot at the breaking point is presented (Load Test - Scheme 1) of which on the right the graphic Force versus Displacement. In this case the breaking force is 7 kN circa: the last recorded value that is measured is an imposed force of 6.2 kN after which it verified a peak of force of 7.3 kN with the consequence of breaking the larch board.

Load Test - Scheme 2

In the second test it was expected to measure a lower breaking force, due to the lack of the restraint and the consequent force's eccentricity. The node broke at 8.5 kN, indicating a better resistance to compression of the element. This test shows a more fragile type of break: it happened without the verifying of all the plastic deformation, stood out in the first in hyperstatic configuration.
Simulation displacements

With the joint to the ground

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forze Applicate [Kg]</th>
<th>Deformazione Modello [mm]</th>
<th>Deformazione Reale [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>6,99</td>
<td>5,48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td>10,66</td>
<td>10,22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>13,46</td>
<td>11,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>14,96</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>538</td>
<td>20,12</td>
<td>16,59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>20,56</td>
<td>16,68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>23,18</td>
<td>18,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Without the joint to the ground

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forze Applicate [Kg]</th>
<th>Deformazione Modello [mm]</th>
<th>Deformazione Reale [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>8,46</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>14,72</td>
<td>24,23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>21,34</td>
<td>31,16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>27,23</td>
<td>39,23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>33,12</td>
<td>46,43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>40,48</td>
<td>56,17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>45,62</td>
<td>76,86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KODAMA

MOCKUP 1:5

MADE IN YEW
Kodama - Node scale 1:5 made in yew

Final mockup

Node detail

Separate elements to compose

Separate elements to compose

Delivery of the mockup to Kengo Kuma
KODAMA

MOCKUP 1:5

MADE IN OAK
Kodama - Mockup 1:5 made in Oak

Oak mockup realized in D3Wood laboratory

Pieces' classification and realization of the first ring
Kodama - Mockup 1:5 made in Oak

Oak mockup realized in D3Wood laboratory

Realization of the fourth ring

Joint detail
Kodama - Mockup 1:5 made in Oak

Oak mockup realized in D3Wood laboratory

Final mockup
Kodama - Mockup 1:5 made in Oak

Oak mockup located in Villa Strobele garden

Final mockup
KODAMA
MOCKUP 1:10
MADE IN POLIPLAT
Mockup realized in Kuma-LAB
Analysis and realization of the mockup
Kodama - Mockup 1:10 made in Poliplat

Mockup realized in Kuma-LAB

Insertion in real context
KODAMA
MOCKUP 1:20
MADE IN METHACRYLATE
Kodama - Mockup 1:20 made in Methacrylate

Final mockup located in Villa Strobele garden

Final mockup
KODAMA
ON-SITE
ELEMENTS’ PRODUCTION
Kodama - Elements’ production

Model codification
All the model was codified following precise rules. First of all every pieces was classified for type with a number. Secondly to each one was assigned a specific, unique numerical and alphabetical code.

1-10YZ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ring number</th>
<th>Assembling order</th>
<th>Piece orientation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10YZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each type of piece had a related number and was assigned to a specific stock pallet (corresponding to the structural ring).

Final codes and excel organization file

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Piece Type</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Package</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>1-10YZ</td>
<td>FAB_L01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>1-11YZ</td>
<td>FAB_L01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>1-1YZ</td>
<td>FAB_L01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>1-2YZ</td>
<td>FAB_L01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>1-3XY</td>
<td>FAB_L01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>1-4ZX</td>
<td>FAB_L01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1-5ZX</td>
<td>FAB_L01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128.0</td>
<td>1-6ZX</td>
<td>FAB_L01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>1-7XY</td>
<td>FAB_L01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>1-8YZ</td>
<td>FAB_L01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.0</td>
<td>1-9XY</td>
<td>FAB_L01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2-10XY</td>
<td>FAB_L02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2-11YZ</td>
<td>FAB_L02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148.0</td>
<td>2-12YZ</td>
<td>FAB_L02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2-13ZX</td>
<td>FAB_L02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2-14ZX</td>
<td>FAB_L02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>2-15YZ</td>
<td>FAB_L02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2-16XY</td>
<td>FAB_L02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kodama - Elements’ production

Cutting
Original boards in larch

CNC machine

Final boards
KODAMA
ON-SITE
CONSTRUCTION IN LARCH
Kodama - On-site construction

Construction-book

The pavilion’s building had been based on a strict assembling scheme that stem from the study of the parametric model. Kodama is composed by 335 panels characterized by bidirectional joints; this complex geometry requires a construction organized by phases.

Through “a rings scheme” the realization of the entire pavilion was allowed. This procedure provide a separate mounting of each ring that is jointed to the precedent in a second moment. For this reason, the parametric model has been subdivided in 10 different, connected between each other following only the vertical direction.

This model dissection facilitated the structural phases of the entire work and was also extremely useful for the construction-site organization. The 335 larch pieces cut by the CNC machine (RiLegno Srl) have been stocked on 5 different pallet according to the parametric model codes.

The Kodama construction lasted 5 days (from 16th to 20th of April 2018). The first one has been used to place the foundations beams made in larch and to fix the metal plates. The wood structure, completely jointed without any metal connections, started on the 17th of April 2018 and continued for 4 days.

Day 2 - 17th April
First, second, third and fourth ring

Day 3 - 18th April
Fifth, sixth and seventh ring

Day 4 - 19th April
Eighth and ninth ring

Day 5 - 20th April
Tenth ring
Day 1 - 16th April

Placement of the root beams
Kodama - On-site construction

Day 1 - 16th April
Placement of the metal plates
Kodama - On-site construction

Day 2 - 17th April

Realization of the first and second ring
Day 2 - 17th April

Realization of the third and fourth ring
Day 3 - 18th April

Realization of the fifth ring
Kodama - On-site construction

Day 3 - 18th April
Realization of the sixth and seventh ring
Kodama - On-site construction

Day 4 - 19th April
Realization of the eighth and ninth ring
Kodama - On-site construction

Day 5 - 20th April

Final pavilion
Kodama - On-site construction

Day 5 - 20th April
Detail
Kodama - On-site construction

Day 5 - 20th April
Federica, Claudio, Marco and Clara
Stepping Stones - Inspirations and materials

Japanese Gardens

The stone path: Stepping Stones

As the tradition wants, the stone path begins from the tea hall (Chashitsu), sacred room where the Cha No Yo, tea ceremony, takes place.

The sacredness of this ceremony imposes that also the approach to the hall follows a specific rules. The so-called Stepping Stones begins in front of the entrance of the hall, where there is positioned the biggest stone of the path. This element represents the point of division and connection between the sacred hall and the garden, a kind of neutral limbo, scene of inner transition. Leaving the hall, the host dwells on the first stone and takes his time to prepare himself to the change. From here the path takes the host to the places of interest of the garden, drawing a sinuous and curvilinear route.

The voluntary lengthening of the trail helps the observer to understand how tiring and never obvious is the road to the equilibrium.

The stones: how to choose and place them

The stones, which are always chosen in different sizes and shapes but always of the same material, are never positioned at an equal distance and with the barycentre slightly moved from the imaginary line that indicates the run.

Once again, the co-existence of order and disorder, is a symbol of harmony.

The stone path is never enclosure and it never goes back over himself. This characteristics symbolise a spiritual conquest route that brings the host to isolated and hidden different areas dominated by a grove, a glade or a lantern and hidden from an outside observer.

Luserna Stone

Dimension of the stones

The drawing of the path is stricktly dipendent from the size of the Luserna Stone plates, material that comes from Trentino’s valleys. Since ancient times, this stone has been used for paths in gardens and walkways in urban crowded roads. The possibility to have plates of great dimensions and shapes that bestly simulate the traditional configuration of the Stepping Stones of the Japanese Garden, make this material becomes a perfect project candidate.

In the specific are used stones with avarage length of their diagonals that varied from 70 to the 90 cm and with a typical not homogenea coloration, tending to gray.

Geological and geographic location

The Stone of Luserna is a schistose metamorphic rock belonging to the group of the Gneiss. It is about particularly Gneiss lamellar type, composed by different layers.

This stone, whose natural extrapolation is documented from the middle of XVII century, has been used as a construction material from the remotest times.
Below is exposed the final hypothesis of the Stepping Stone that leads from Villa Strobele to the Kodama. From this, that symbolize the sacred hall of tea, begins the stone path that leads to the pavilion starting next to the existing road in the garden.

The main idea is to conceal the entrance to the observer till the last moment, offering him a run inside the garden and let him enjoying the fantastic natural view of the surrounding. For this reason the entry of the Kodama has a northwest orientation. This configuration allows also to hide till the last moment that the pavilion is also accessible from the inside: up to the last step the Stepping Stone seems like a tortuous way from which enjoy the surrounding view without having the certainty to be able to enter in the final hall.

The shape of the path is inspired to the “Niren-uchi”, one of the eleven traditional typologies of Japanese Garden as reported in the book “Design Parts Collection in Japanese Traditional Style Garden.”

Distances between the stones:
- Center of gravity
- 100 cm
- 90 cm
KODAMA
ON-SITE
STONE PLACEMENT
Kodama - On-site construction

26th April

Placement of the sign

Kengo Kuma’s autograph
Kodama - On-site construction

26th April
Placement of the stone path
Kodama - On-site construction

26th April

Final layout
26th April
Final layout
KODAMA
OPENING DAYS
ARTE SELLA, BORGO VAL SUGANA
“Still before showing them Kodama, I decided to bring them under the shade of a 700-year-old oak, the deepest soul of Artesella and symbol of this territory. The positive energy that the oak gave us, made easier and more fluid our dialogue...since then all of us spoke about nature and art in a sincere and unique language.”

Emanuele Montibeller, Artesella Art Director
Kodama - Opening days

Kengo Kuma sensei arrival in Artesella

Lunch time in Malga Costa

Satoko Shinohara and Kengo Kuma during the visit at Villa Strobele

Emanuele Montibeller during the visit of Villa Strobele
Kuma Sensei discovering Kodama for the first time

First approach
Kodama - Opening days

Kuma Sensei discovering Kodama for the first time

First approach
Kodama - Opening days

Kuma Sensei discovering Kodama for the first time

Climbing to the top
Kodama - Opening days

Exchanging gifts

Yew jewel for Satoko Shinohara and Kodama report for Kengo Kuma
Kodama - Opening days

Opening ceremony
“Kodama is a monument of exchange between Japanese culture and Italian culture, Japanese craftsmanship and Italian craftsmanship. I’m very very happy with all of them.”

Kengo Kuma Sensei
KODAMA
OBJECTS
HANDMADE NODES AND JEWELS
**Kodama** - Handmade objects

**Kodama node in scala 1:5**

D3Wood version on sale in Artesella (Borgo Val Sugana)

Japanese version on sale in Kengo Kuma's exhibition (Tokyo Station)
Kodama - Handmade objects

Kodama node in scala 1:5
D3Wood version in oak and Japanese version in cedar
Kodama - Handmade objects

Kodama jewel in scale 1:20
From the gift for Kuma Sensei to the jewel for Satoko Shinohara